

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/682,476	10/10/2003	Toshio Sakai	18920.0040	1461
23517 7	590 10/21/2004		EXAMINER	
SWIDLER BERLIN SHEREFF FRIEDMAN, LLP			MILLER, BENA B	
3000 K STREE	ET, NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BOX IP	N, DC 20007		3714	THE DRIVENIE
WASHINGIO	14, DC 20007		3/14	

DATE MAILED: 10/21/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			YW
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/682,476	TOSHIO SAKAI	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Bena Miller	3714	
The MAILING DATE of this communica Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addres	is
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) d - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. FOR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a cation. ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir by period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON, by statute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communications (35 U.S.C. § 133).	inication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on		
	☑ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice	•	•	rits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are v 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration.		,
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the E			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		•	
Applicant may not request that any objectio	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	, ,	404(1)
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in A he priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stag	je
attachment(s)	_		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-1) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	.948) Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/682,476

Art Unit: 3714

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 1, it is not clear how the power spring drives the leg portion and arm portion. In line 7, it is not clear if the leg portions are disposed within the lower of the torso or attached to the lower of the torso. In line 8, there is lack of antecedent for the limitation "said main body". In line 16, it is not clear if the first leg portion is the same as one of the leg portions recited in line 2 of the claim. Further, inline 17, it is not clear if the second leg the same as one of the leg portions.

Regarding claim 2, there is lack of proper antecedent basis for the limitation "the first link member", "the first member" and "said toy main body".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cho et al (JP407227482A) in view of Morgrey.

Application/Control Number: 10/682,476

Art Unit: 3714

Cho et al teaches in the figures most of the elements of the claimed invention, including first link members (30, par. 0014-0016), second link mechanisms (20), and the shift of weight of the main body is taken by positioning a center of gravity of the main body (par. 006-008). However, Cho fails to teach arm portions moved by driving means. Morgrey teaches in col. 11, par 2 that it is well known to have robotic mechanisms for enabling manipulation of the upper extremities such as the arms (55R, 55L), since such mechanisms are well known to persons skilled in the art of robotics. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate arm portions moved by driving means as taught by Morgrey to the toy of Cho et al for the purpose of simulating movement of human.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bena Miller whose telephone number is 703.305.0643. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

Application/Control Number: 10/682,476

Art Unit: 3714

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Bena Miller Examiner

Art Unit 3714

bbm

October 19, 2004